

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2007

Chandrakant Ganpati Gore. ... Applicant.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Ms.Pratiksha Mohite i/b. Pratap Patil
for the applicant.

Rajesh More, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 27th August 2007.

P.C.:

. The applicant was granted temporary bail for a period of 20 days vide order dated 5th June, 2007 on deposit of Rs.3,000/-. After expiry of the period of 20 days, applicant did not surrender but sought extension of time which was granted by this Court vide order dated 27th June, 2007 for a period of two weeks from the date of the order. In spite of expiry of extended period of two weeks, the applicant neither applied for extension of time nor surrendered.

2. Today, when this matter was called out, learned counsel appearing for the applicant produced two registered letters dated 22nd June, 2007 and 27th

June, 2007 along with acknowledgment evidencing receipt of the said letters. Even the said letters were also sent under posting certificate (U.P.C.). As prayed, the said letters are taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in spite of aforesaid two letters there is no response from the applicant.

3. In the above circumstances, revision application is rejected for non-compliance of the order of this Court.

4. It is made clear that the investigating officer shall be free to take steps in accordance with law to take the applicant in the custody.

JUDGE